

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/706,927	11/14/2003	Yuka Yamada	YAMADA =45A	7435	
1444	7590 03/08/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.			HODGES, M	HODGES, MATTHEW P	
624 NINTH STREET, NW SUITE 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303				
		• •	DATE MAILED: 03/08/200	DATE MAILED: 03/08/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/706,927	YAMADA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Matt P. Hodges	2879	

Advisory Action	10/706,927	YAMADA ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>			
	Matt P. Hodges	2879				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 23 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS		-				
<ul> <li>1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:</li> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> </ul>						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extensio final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b) y reduce any			
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS 3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered b	20021160			
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in be</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);				
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	•					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a</li> </ul>	•	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling			
the non-allowable claim(s).			_			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		ill be entered and an	expianation of			
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appery and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	]			
13. Other:		JOSEPH WILL PRIMARY EXAM	luc IAMS VINER			
		Lastance				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 2/23/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding applicant's assertion that the Chalamala ('190) reference does not disclose the use of a crystalline film that acts as an emitter for the device as claimed, the examiner respectfully disagrees. Attention is drawn to Column 4 lines 51-64, where the prior establishes that the thickness of the film defines where the election emittance occurs. In this case a relatively thick film (over a monolayer for instance) acts as the emitting site for the electrons in the electron-emitting device.

## **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matt P Hodges whose telephone number is (571) 272-2454. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,927

Art Unit: 2879

Page 3

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mph M

JOSEPH WILLIAMS PRIMARY EXAMINER